

All members were present.

Ernie Rose was present to discuss and answer questions concerning the sign ordinance proposed by the Cornish Assosiation of Businesses.

The following articles were discussed:

Sec. 2.2- "modify" posed a problem. A suggested wording was " a change in sign area, configuration, or illumination".

Sec. 2.4- the last sentence was discussed. The intent seemed clear but the wording might cause a problem.

Sec. 2.5- " name sign " should be defined

Sec.3.2- should add that responsibility for removal is the candidate or supporting organizatio.

Sec. 3.4- should "commercial" be defined? It was decided that it was probably unnessecary.

Sec. 3.6- remove the word "immediately"

Sec. 4.9- add "following enactment" after "At the beginning of the second year,".

Sec. 5.1, SSec.5.1.2- change 4.9 to 3.3

SSec 5.1.3- change 4.11 to 1.5

Sec. 5.6- a discussion of what will be allowed under this paragraph was held. K.Leavitt said the intent of the section was to keep some cotrol over the number of signs allowed. The wording seemed vague.

Sec. 6.4- "commercial banner" should be defined

Sec. 11- remove from ordinance completely

Also, the height and size of projecting signs should be addressed, as well as the use of "OPEN" flags.

Requests to be on the adgenda for 2-4-92:

Gleichman, Inc. Assessories, Unlimited, and a person asking about land previously subdivided on Hessian Hill. *Requesting information.*

K.L. asked SMRP about helping rewrite the ordinance. There is no money available from the state. SMRP charges \$35.00/hour and gave a rough estimate of \$5500.00 to aid Cornish.

J. Matheson went over the state Shoreline Protection Ord.

The minutes of 11-6-91 and 1-7-92 were accepted.

W. Stickney, Sec.

*W. Stickney* Lowell Lumber wanted to be put on the meeting for Feb. 2, 1991.